

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,027	07/29/2003	Martin Kreuzer	TRW(ASG)6674 7775	
26294 75	. 08/01/2006		EXAMINER	
	JNDHEIM, COVELL &	ROSENBERG, LAURA B		
	1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			PAPER NUMBER
	, 011		3616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/630,027	KREUZER, MARTIN
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Laura B. Rosenberg	3616
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period fi - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we yet within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sived by the Office later than three months after the mailing a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ This a 3)□ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Mar</u> action is FINAL . 2b) This this application is in condition for allowant d in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of	Claims		
4a) Of 5)⊠ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)□ Claim 8)□ Claim	(s) <u>1,3-15 and 17-19</u> is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is/are withdraw (s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are allowed. (s) <u>1,3-13 and 17-19</u> is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Pa	•		
10)∭ The dr Applic Replac	pecification is objected to by the Examiner rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the objection deciminate drawing sheet(s) including the correction ath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	erences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	offsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed 18 May 2006, in which claims 1, 14, 15, and 17 were amended, claim 16 was canceled, and claims 18 and 19 were added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada (3,758,133). Okada discloses a gas bag protection device (best seen in figures 5, 6) comprising:
- Gas bag (including #3) having outer wall made of first material (bag material not specified, but most gas bags are made of some type of fabric)
- Outflow opening (including portion of #3 covered by #8) in outer wall
- Membrane (including #8) made of an extensible, second material (for example, film)
 fastened to outer wall and covering the outflow opening when gas bag is not fully
 inflated (best seen in figure 5)
- First material and membrane defining an inflatable volume of the gas bag (best seen in figures 5, 6) that varies depending upon the load applied to the gas bag (for

Application/Control Number: 10/630,027 Page 3

Art Unit: 3616

example, inflatable volume changes when a large load is applied and the membrane is cut)

- Device (including #9) outside gas bag that serves to destroy membrane (for example, can be seen destroying membrane in figure 6)
- Gas bag and device spaced far enough apart that membrane meets device only when a predetermined internal pressure of the gas bag has been reached (best seen in figure 6)
- Membrane in a folded gas bag state arranged inside the gas bag (best seen in figure
 5) and turned outwards through the outlet opening on inflation of the gas bag (best seen in figure 6)
- Outflow opening is covered only by the membrane (best seen in figure 5)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-8, 13, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada (3,758,133) in view of Braunschadel (6,056,318). Okada discloses a gas bag protection device (best seen in figures 5, 6) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/630,027 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

 Gas bag (including #3) having outer wall made of first material (bag material not specified, but most gas bags are made of some type of fabric) and defining an inflatable volume (best seen in figures 5, 6)

- Outflow opening (including portion of #3 covered by #8) in outer wall
- Membrane (including #8) made of an extensible, second material (for example, film)
 fastened to outer wall and covering the outflow opening when gas bag is not fully
 inflated (best seen in figure 5)
- Device (including #9) outside gas bag that serves to destroy membrane (for example, can be seen destroying membrane in figure 6)
- Gas bag and device spaced far enough apart that membrane meets device only when a predetermined internal pressure of the gas bag has been reached (best seen in figure 6)
- Membrane in a folded gas bag state arranged inside the gas bag (best seen in figure
 5) and turned outwards through the outlet opening on inflation of the gas bag (best seen in figure 6)
- In a destroyed state, membrane defines an effective outflow cross-section (best seen in figure 6)
- Device (including #9) provided on an "inner face" of a steering wheel (steering wheel not labeled, but is positioned in front of driver as seen in figures 1, 2)
- Outflow opening is covered only by the membrane (best seen in figure 5)
- Gas bag remains "rearward" from the device when the membrane is destroyed by the device (best seen in figure 6)

Membrane defines a recess (for example, recess formed by portion of membrane #8
that is not overlapped by gas bag #3; best seen in figure 5) that extends inwardly of
the gas bag from the outlet opening prior to inflation of the gas bag (recess extends
inwardly at all times, including prior to inflation, except when membrane is pierced by
device #9)

 Recess having side walls (for example upper, lower, left, or right side walls) that extend inwardly from the outlet opening (can be seen in figure 5)

Okada does not disclose the membrane bulging toward an exterior before reaching the device, the membrane in the destroyed state providing for either an enlargement or reduction of the effective outflow cross-section as a function of an internal pressure in the gas bag, inflatable volume defined by the first material and the membrane increasing when the membrane bulges forward toward the exterior, or the membrane being made of an elastic/elastomeric material.

Braunschadel teaches a gas bag protection device (figures 1-3) comprising:

- Gas bag (including #1) having outer wall made of first material (bag material not specified, but most gas bags are made of some type of fabric)
- Outflow opening (including #2) in outer wall (best seen in figure 1)
- Membrane (including #4) made of an extensible, second material (for example, elastic/elastomeric fabric) fastened to outer wall and covering outflow opening when gas bag is not fully inflated (shown in exploded view in figure 1)

Application/Control Number: 10/630,027 Page 6

Art Unit: 3616

 Membrane in a folded gas bag state arranged inside the gas bag and turned outwards through the outlet opening on inflation of the gas bag, bulging forward toward an exterior (column 2, lines 42-48)

- In a "destroyed" state, membrane defines an effective outflow cross-section and provides for an adjustment in size of the outflow cross-section as a function of an internal pressure of the gas bag (column 2, lines 42-61)
- First material and membrane defining an inflatable volume of the gas bag that increases when the membrane bulges forward toward the exterior (due to the membrane's elasticity)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the gas bag protection device of Okada such that it comprised the membrane bulging toward an exterior before reaching the device, the membrane in the destroyed state providing for either an enlargement or reduction of the effective outflow cross-section as a function of an internal pressure in the gas bag, the inflatable volume defined by the first material and the membrane increasing when the membrane bulges forward toward the exterior, and the membrane being made of an elastic/elastomeric material as claimed in view of the teachings of Braunschadel so as to safely accommodate a variety of vehicle occupants who impart different loads when impacting the gas bag in a vehicle collision (Braunschadel: column 1, line 60-column 2, line 22).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14 and 15 are allowed.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the allowable subject matter is the recess of the membrane having a pair of side walls that face each other and extend inwardly from the outlet opening, in combination with other features of claim 14.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 18 May 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to page 9, the modification of Okada's gas bag to include

Braunschadel's membrane meets the limitation of "said membrane bulges forward

toward an exterior before reaching said device" at least in part because of the distance

between the membrane and the device, as can be seen in figure 5 of the Okada

reference.

With respect to page 10, the examiner disagrees with applicant's statement that the modification of Okada by Braunschadel would change the principal operation of Okada. In fact, the use of a bulging membrane would enhance the Okada gas bag by safely accommodating a variety of vehicle occupants who impart different loads when impacting the gas bag in a vehicle collision.

With respect to pages 10-11, the examiner points out that Braunschadel's fabric layer 4 is less gas permeable than gas permeable fabric layer 3. Thus, comparing the fabric layer 4 to "a small hole for air leakage" as disclosed in column 2 of Okada and pointed out by applicant would be and incongruous comparison.

Art Unit: 3616

With respect to page 12, "extensible" is defined by Merriam-Webster as "capable of being extended", and the membrane of Okada meets this limitation.

Response to Amendment

9. Examiner notes that the status identifier for claim 9 is incorrect because no amendment is currently being made to claim 9.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura B. Rosenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-6674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura B Rosenberg Patent Examiner Art Unit 3616

LBR

DAVID R. DUNN PRIMARY EXAMINER